**Screening**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>How serious is the risk of bias?</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is there randomized controlled trial evidence that the intervention benefits people with asymptomatic disease?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>What are the recommendations, and will they help you in caring for patients?</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Were the data identified, selected, and combined in an unbiased fashion?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the benefits?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the harms?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do benefits and harms compare in different people and with different screening strategies?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the effect of individuals’ values and preferences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the effect of uncertainty associated with the evidence?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the cost-effectiveness?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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