

## CRITICAL REVIEW FORM: CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

**Citation:** Rhodes et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2016. Crit Care Med 2017;45(3):1-67. DOI: 10.1097/CCM

<b>Is the clinical question clear and comprehensive?</b>	
Is the recommended intervention clear and actionable?	
Is the alternative clear?	
Were all of the relevant outcomes important to patients explicitly considered?	
<b>Was the recommendation based on the best current evidence?</b>	
<b>Are values and preferences associated with the outcomes appropriately specified?</b>	
<b>Do the authors indicate the strength of their recommendations?</b>	
<b>Is the evidence supporting the recommendation easily understood?</b>	
For strong recommendations, is the strength appropriate?	
For weak recommendations, does the information provided facilitate shared decision making?	
<b>Was the influence of the conflict of interests minimized?</b>	