

CRITICAL REVIEW FORM: CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

Citation: Busse JW, Craigie S, Juurlink DN, et al. Guideline for opioid therapy and chronic noncancer pain. CMAJ. 2017; 189(18): E659-E666.

Is the clinical question clear and comprehensive?	
Is the recommended intervention clear and actionable?	
Is the alternative clear?	
Were all of the relevant outcomes important to patients explicitly considered?	
Was the recommendation based on the best current evidence?	
Are values and preferences associated with the outcomes appropriately specified?	
Do the authors indicate the strength of their recommendations?	
Is the evidence supporting the recommendation easily understood?	
For strong recommendations, is the strength appropriate?	
For weak recommendations, does the information provided facilitate shared decision making?	
Was the influence of the conflict of interests minimized?	