

**CRITICAL REVIEW FORM:      SYSTEMATIC REVIEW  
- CREDIBILITY OF THE REVIEW PROCESS**

**Citation:**

Bin Zhu, Ying Wang, Meg Jardine, Min Jun, Ji-Cheng Lv, Alan Cass, Thaminda Liyanage, Hong-Yu Chen, Yong-jun Wan, Vlado Perkovic. *Tripterygium* Preparations for the Treatment of CKD: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2013;62(3):515-530.

Guide	Comments
1. Did the review explicitly address a sensible clinical question?	
2. Was the search for relevant studies exhaustive?	
3. Was the risk of bias of the primary studies assessed?	
4. Were selection and assessments of studies reproducible?	
5. Did the review address possible explanations of heterogeneity?	
6. Did the review present results that are ready for clinical application?	
7. Did the review address confidence in the evidence?	

**CRITICAL REVIEW FORM: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW  
- CONFIDENCE IN RESULTS**

**Citation:**

Bin Zhu, Ying Wang, Meg Jardine, Min Jun, Ji-Cheng Lv, Alan Cass, Thaminda Liyanage, Hong-Yu Chen, Yong-jun Wan, Vlado Perkovic. *Tripterygium* Preparations for the Treatment of CKD: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2013;62(3):515-530.

Guide	Comments
<b>I. Results</b>	
1. What are the overall results of the study?	
<b>II. Quality/Certainty /Confidence</b>	
1. How serious was the risk of bias of the body of evidence?	
2. How precise are the results?	
3. How consistent are the results?	
4. How well do the results apply to our patients, our intervention and our outcomes of interest?	
5. How high is the risk of publication bias?	
6. Clinical scenario resolution	